

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,329	06/12/2001	Ethan R. Signer	408445	4053
23557	7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
	,		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Description   Descr		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Daniel M. Sullivan    Daniel M. Sullivan		09/879,329	SIGNER ET AL.			
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entensions of them rops by existing burden the proteins of 3° CPR 1-1300, in no earth, however, may a reply to lemity feed  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will explye state, which were the proteins of 15° CPR 1-1300.  Any reply received by the Office later than those months after the making date of this communication. Pallute to reply will the set or received approach of reyly will be past or lead of the first months after the making date of this communication. Period 15° CPR 1-1300.  Any reply received by the Office later than those months after the making date of this communication. Period 15° CPR 1-1300.  A province the magainers is 20° CPR 1-1300.  Statuse  1)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  But SIX (8) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (1) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (1) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (1) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (1) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (1) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (1) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (2) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (2) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (2) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (3) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (4) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (4) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (4) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (5) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (7) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (6) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (7) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (7) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (7) MONTH'S from the realising date of this communication.  BIX (7) MONTH						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Editations of them may be wellbus under the provides of 37 CFR 1.195(a), into overth, knowner, may a riply to timely find after SX (b) MCNTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fillution to grow within the set created playfold for riply with provided and sold continuous continuous.  Fillution to grow within the set created playfold for riply will by statule, usual the application to become APANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any element options them adjustment them adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 02 June 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FiNAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8.9 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.10-16 and 18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 4pplication Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 8.9 and 19-21 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 8, 9 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7.10-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 — Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patient Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ne 2006.				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) 8.9 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-7.10-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  cocepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Clad (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· _ , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 8.9 and 19-21 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7.10-16 and 18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  copie of the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cliad (PTO-882)  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cliad (PTO-882)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Discobsure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)	<b>,_</b>					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 8.9 and 19-21 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7.10-16 and 18 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Molice of Dratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449)  5) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 8, 9 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08) 5 ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ Paper N	Disposition of Claims	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 8, 9 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,10-16 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08) 5 ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐ Paper N	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in the application					
5) ☐ Claim(s) 8.9 and 19-21 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.10-10 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)						
6)  Claim(s) 1-7,10-16 and 18 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1.2			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			-			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		t .				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review'(PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					

Art Unit: 1636

### **DETAILED ACTION**

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2 June 2006 has been entered.

This Office Action is a reply to the Paper filed 2 June 2006 in response to the Final Office Action mailed 2 March 2006. Claims 1-21 were considered in the 2 March Office Action. Claim 17 was canceled and claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 10-16 were amended in the 2 June Paper. Claims 1-16 and 18-21 are pending and under consideration.

### Response to Amendment and Arguments

Objection to and rejection of claim 17 is rendered moot by the cancellation thereof.

e agricultura exterimenti inflinder per est a ser est de les est de l'est est de l'est est est de l'est est es

### Specification

Objection to the specification is **withdrawn** in view of the amendment of the claims to remove the recitation of a "non-native gene of interest".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Rejection of claims 1, 3, 6, 7 and 10-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing "new matter" is **withdrawn** in view of the claim amendments.

of my man be I lateral a with standard or with the artist of the

thes ongoing them of the transfer of

•

•

Art Unit: 1636

Rejection of claims 1-7, 10-16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite is withdrawn in view of the amendment of the claims to remove the indefinite limitation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 4, 6, 10, 12, 14, 15 and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bauer et al. and further in view of Ow, D. (WO 93/01283) for reasons of record and herein below.

As described in the 1 April 2004 Office Action, Bauer et al. teaches a genetic construct comprising a positive selectable marker gene and a negative selectable marker gene, different in kind from the positive selectable marker, and direct repeats of a gene of interest that flank the positive and negative selectable marker genes (see especially the paragraph beginning at line 34 in column 3 and the paragraph bridging columns 3-4). With regard to the limitation of the substrate as "complementary to" the selectable marker, Applicant indicates that this relationship is described in paragraph 30 of the specification. Based on the description therein, the limitation is understood to encompass any medium or growth condition that provides for selection by the marker gene. In columns 8-10, Bauer et al. contemplates a variety of positive and negative selectable marker genes and media or growth conditions that provide for selection (e.g., inducers of promoters operably linked to nucleic acids encoding toxic gene products for use as negative selectable markers).

Furthermore, in the paragraph bridging columns 10-11, Bauer et al. teaches a method of removing a selectable marker comprising transforming cells with the genetic construct disclosed

Art Unit: 1636

therein, identifying transformants using the integration marker (i.e., positive selection marker) and then selecting cells that have lost the negative selection marker by culturing in negative selection medium. Thus, Bauer et al. teaches a genetic construct having all of the limitations of the genetic construct system of the instant claim 1 and a method having all of the limitations of claim 4 except that Bauer et al. does not teach the construct system applied to plants.

Ow teaches a method of producing marker-free transgenic plants wherein a selectable marker gene is flanked by site specific recombination sites and excised using a site specific recombinase (see especially the discussion beginning the first full paragraph on page 6 and continued through the first full paragraph on page 7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the method of Bauer et al., using a construct comprising a positive and negative selectable marker flanked by direct repeats according to the instant claims, for the method of Ow, which utilizes a selectable marker flanked by site specific recombination signals to remove selectable marker genes from plant cells. One would be motivated to modify the teachings of Ow in this way in view of the teaching of Bauer et al. that site specific recombination systems are inferior to the method disclosed therein because the site specific recombination does not remove all of the exogenous DNA (see especially column 3, lines 26-28).

Absent evidence to the contrary, one would have a reasonable expectation of success in practicing the method of Bauer et al. in plant cells because one of ordinary skill would expect that the homologous recombination required for deletion of the marker genes would operate in plant cells as well as yeast.

Art Unit: 1636

In view of these considerations, the instant claims 1 and 4, as a whole, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as would the method of claim 17, which merely recites that the eukaryotic cell is a plant cell.

Finally, claim 18, which limits the cell of claim 17 to one of a variety of species, would also be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because Ow teaches that excision of marker genes is generally desirable in any transgenic plant (see especially the third paragraph on page 4) and explicitly contemplates production of marker-free tobacco (see especially Example 2).

For these reasons, the invention of claims 1, 4, 17 and 18 as a whole would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of filing.

With regard to claims 6, 10, 12, 14 and 15, the claims are directed to the genetic construct of claim 1, wherein the positive and negative selectable markers are limited to specific arrangement within the construct with respect to one another (e.g., GI-PS-NS-GI versus GI-NS-PS-GI). Claims 14/and 15 are further limited to comprising additional genes of interest flanking the gene of interest present as a direct repeat. As originally discussed in the 1 April Office Action (page 5), although Bauer et al. does not explicitly teach any particular configuration of the positive and negative selectable markers, other than that they should be flanked by the direct repeat, the skilled artisan would not expect that the arrangement of the selectable markers within the boundaries of the direct repeat would affect the function of the construct in any way.

A prima facie case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarities and similar utilities because one skilled in the art would be motivated by the expectation that compounds of similar structure will have similar function (see e.g., MPEP 2144.09). Thus, it would be prima facie obvious to the skilled artisan to use either of the

Art Unit: 1636

configurations of positive and negative selectable markers set forth in the claims. With regard to additional genes of interest, Bauer *et al.* teaches that the constructs might comprise one or several additional genes of interest located outside of the direct repeat sequence (see especially column 4, lines 11-14).

Given these teachings, the invention of claims 6, 10, 12, 14 and 15, as a whole, would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

### Response to Arguments

In the remarks filed with the 2 June Paper, Applicant contends that the amended claims would not be obvious because the limitation "two direct repeats of a gene of interest from an organism other than yeast" is not described or suggested by Bauer, which teaches that the direct repeats must be from a yeast strain that belongs to the same genus or same species as the transformed yeast. Applicant further contends that nothing is mentioned in Ow that suggests the use of two direct repeats of a gene of interest from an organism other than yeast.

These arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive in view of the record as a whole. Applicant is reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

As Applicant acknowledges in the Remarks filed 23 December 2005, Bauer et al. teaches that the DRS's of the construct should be non-exogenous (p. 7, ¶5 of the 23 December Remarks). As discussed in the 2 March Office Action, the statement cited by Applicant in the 23 December

Art Unit: 1636

remarks, viewed in the context of the Bauer et al. as a whole, clearly teaches that by "non-exogenous" Bauer et al. means that the DRS sequence should be non-exogenous to the genus or species of organism being transformed. See the paragraph bridging pp. 6-7 of the 2 March Office Action. In view of the fact that the teachings of Bauer et al. in view of Ow render obvious practicing the method of Bauer et al. in plants (i.e., transforming plants) and in view of the teaching of Bauer et al. that the DRS sequence should be non-exogenous to the genus of species of organism being transformed, it would be obvious to one of skill in the art to use plant DRS sequences when practicing the invention in plants. Therefore, limitation of the direct repeats to being from an organism other than yeast does not distinguish the claims from the teachings of the prior art.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive in view of the record as a whole; therefore, the claims stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over the art.

#### New Grounds

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-7, 10-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the

Art Unit: 1636

relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a <u>new matter</u> rejection.

MPEP 2173.05(i) instructs, "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. If alternative elements are positively recited in the specification, they may be explicitly excluded in the claims. See *In re Johnson*, 558 F.2d 1008, 1019, 194 USPQ 187, 196 (CCPA 1977) ("[the] specification, having described the whole, necessarily described the part remaining."). See also *Ex parte Grasselli*, 231 USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983), aff 'd mem., 738 F.2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984). The mere absence of a positive recitation is not basis for an exclusion. Any claim containing a negative limitation which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

In the instant case, independent claims 1 and 4 have been amended to recite that the genetic construct of the claims comprises two direct repeats of a gene of interest "from an organism other than yeast". In support of the amendments, Applicant states that the original specification discloses that direct repeats of a gene of interest may include, but are not limited to, genes which are not normally present in the transformed plants, and may also include any other genes or DNA sequences which are desirable. (Remarks, p. 7, ll. 4-7.) However, even if the disclosure does teach what Applicant asserts, this does not amount to a teaching that yeast sequences should be excluded from the scope of direct repeats of a gene of interest comprised within the genetic construct of the claims. On the contrary, it would seem that such a teaching would indicate that the direct repeats might comprise sequence from any organism. In addition,

M 2003 Million allowy a characteristic of the second of the

Application/Control Number: 09/879,329 Page 9

Art Unit: 1636

as there is no positive recitation of direct repeats from yeast in the originally filed disclosure at all, there is no basis for explicitly excluding direct repeats from yeast.

For these reasons, limitation of the direct repeats of a gene of interest to being from an organism other than yeast constitutes impermissible new matter.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is indefinite because, due to the cancellation of claim 17, claim 18 now depends from a cancelled claim. There is now no antecedent basis for the "[m]ethod of claim 17" in the claims.

### Allowable Subject Matter

reserve that a milke the line with some or those some of the sound of

or or the ≫ data letter by the converge to the contract

Claims 8, 9 and 19-21 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel M. Sullivan whose telephone number is 571-272-0779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 10

Art Unit: 1636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) (<a href="https://pair-direct.uspto.gov">https://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

and the state of t

reconstruit de la comparte del comparte del comparte de la comparte del comparte del la compa

the commendations in the marketing is able to make in the state of the

Daniel M. Sullivan, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1636